BATH AND NORTH EAST SOMERSET

CABINET

These minutes are draft until confirmed as a correct record at the next meeting.

Wednesday, 13th February, 2013

Present:

Councillor Paul Crossley	Leader of the Council
Councillor David Dixon	Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods
Councillor Simon Allen	Cabinet Member for Wellbeing
Councillor Tim Ball	Cabinet Member for Homes and Planning
Councillor Cherry Beath	Cabinet Member for Sustainable Development
Councillor David Bellotti	Cabinet Member for Community Resources
Councillor Dine Romero	Cabinet Member for Early Years, Children and Youth
Councillor Roger Symonds	Cabinet Member for Transport

145 WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

The Chair was taken by Councillor Paul Crossley, Leader of the Council.

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and announced that the meeting would be recorded and a web cast was available by means of a link from the Council's website.

The Chair announced that it was his intention to consider item 16 (Schools Expansion) at the beginning of the agenda because a large amount of public interest had been expressed in the issue.

146 EMERGENCY EVACUATION PROCEDURE

The Chair drew attention to the evacuation procedure as set out in the Agenda.

147 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

There were no apologies for absence.

148 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were none.

149 TO ANNOUNCE ANY URGENT BUSINESS AGREED BY THE CHAIR

There was none.

150 QUESTIONS FROM PUBLIC AND COUNCILLORS

There were 11 questions from the following Councillors: Nigel Roberts (2), Brian Webber (2), Tim Warren (3), Geoff Ward, Martin Veal, Liz Richardson, Anthony Clarke.

There were 3 questions from the following members of the public: Joel Hirst, Simon Whittle, Evan Weinburg

[Copies of the questions and response, including supplementary questions and responses if any, have been placed on the Minute book as Appendix 1 and are available on the Council's website.]

151 STATEMENTS, DEPUTATIONS OR PETITIONS FROM PUBLIC OR COUNCILLORS

Councillor Tim Warren read a statement on behalf of Councillor Colin Barrett and presented a petition of 300 signatures asking Cabinet to ensure that the Public Conveniences in Weston Village would not be closed.

The Chair referred the petition to Councillor David Dixon for a response in due course.

Councillor John Bull in a statement about Small Business Saturdays [a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 2 and on the Council's website] asked the Cabinet to consider launching a scheme to encourage residents to use their local small businesses more often.

Councillor Liz Hardman in a statement about Children's Centre Funding [a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 3 and on the Council's website] appealed to the Cabinet to protect the funding of children's centres in the area when it recommends its budget to Council.

The Chair assured Councillor Hardman that her comments would be taken fully into account during the budget process.

Councillor Patrick Anketell-Jones in a statement about Victoria Art Gallery [*a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 4 and on the Council's website*] presented a petition of 518 signatures asking the Cabinet not to introduce charges for the Victoria Art Gallery. He asked the Cabinet to exempt local residents from any proposed entry charge, perhaps by extending the combined ticket for the Baths and Fashion Museum to include the gallery. If the combined ticket price were raised in price by £1, that would bring £50,000 per year into the gallery.

The Chair referred the petition to Councillor David Dixon for a response in due course.

Barbara Gordon read a statement on behalf of Lin Patterson about the Campaign to Save Larkhall Public Toilets [*a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 5 and on the Council's website*] and delivered a petition of nearly 500 signatures asking Cabinet not to close the facility.

The Chair referred the petition to Councillor David Dixon for a response in due course.

152 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS CABINET MEETING

On a motion from Councillor Paul Crossley, seconded by Councillor David Dixon, it was

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on Wednesday 16th January 2013 be confirmed as a correct record and would be signed by the Chair.

153 CONSIDERATION OF SINGLE MEMBER ITEMS REQUISITIONED TO CABINET

There were none.

154 MATTERS REFERRED BY POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND SCRUTINY BODIES

The Chair announced that Resources PDS Panel had met on Mon 11th Feb and resolved to make some recommendations to Cabinet relating to the Budget. Copies of the Panel's recommendations had been placed into the public gallery before this meeting [a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 6 and on the Council's website].

The Chair asked Councillor John Bull (Chair of the Panel) to introduce the Panel's recommendations. Councillor Bull explained the input from each Panel and asked Cabinet to take on board all of the comments.

The Chair assured Councillor Bull that all the comments received would be considered in the lead up to Budget Council.

155 SINGLE MEMBER CABINET DECISIONS TAKEN SINCE PREVIOUS CABINET MEETING

The Cabinet agreed to note the report.

The Chair announced that as part of the Council's budget cuts, the number of Cabinet meetings would be reduced to 8 per year (from 11). He anticipated as a result that more decisions would in future be taken by single-member decision.

156 PROPOSALS TO EXPAND SIX PRIMARY SCHOOLS IN KEYNSHAM, BATH, PEASEDOWN AND PAULTON

Councillor John Bull in a statement [a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 7 and on the Council's website] appealed to the Cabinet to ensure that every child in Paulton would have a place at the primary school; and that the on-going road safety problems would be addressed by bringing forward anticipated funding to implement a traffic management scheme near the schools.

Councillor Liz Hardman in a statement [a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 8 and on the Council's website] asked the Cabinet to ensure that effective road safety and traffic management schemes would be in place before any expansion of Paulton school; to ensure that all Paulton children would have a place at a school in Paulton; and that funding for the expansion scheme would be maximised, whatever approach was adopted.

Rachel Rayner (Paulton Resident) in a statement said that there were road safety issues at Paulton school which must be addressed before expansion was considered; that the school facilities would be inadequate to support a 50% increase in children; and that she felt the consultation had been inadequate, particularly since section 9 of the report did not even mention the option of building a new school on another site.

Mich Moore (Paulton Schools Expansion Action Group) in a statement [a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 9 and on the Council's website] disagreed with the contention made in the report that the existing site of Paulton Schools would lend itself to expansion. She felt that road safety issues in particular made the plans inadequate for the needs of local children. She appealed to Cabinet to direct resources towards building a new building on a new site which would provide a sustainable solution for the village.

Louise Bray (Chair, Church Valley Schools Federation) in a statement [*a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 10 and on the Council's website*] asked the Cabinet to give an assurance that the Education and Inspections Act 2006 would be upheld, particularly in the matter of keeping village schools open, by protecting the rural schools in the area.

Councillor Dine Romero thanked the parents and head teacher of Paulton Infants School for meeting with her recently. She said that the main issues of concern were planning, transport and road safety and observed that the planning application had been withdrawn in order to address these issues. She assured Councillors Bull and Harman that schooling would be provided in Paulton for all Paulton children whose parents wished that. There were some reservations about new build, because the existing policy was that new build should be for a minimum of 2-form entry but a new build in Paulton could barely be single-form entry and would cost about £3M. She assured parents however that the s.106 funds would be fully used to improve the schools in Paulton.

Councillor Romero referred to the complaints about the short consultation period. She emphasised that the statutory consultation was yet to take place; but that the Cabinet wanted to be able to accommodate the increased numbers of children due to arrive at school in September 2013. She moved the proposals which she said would start the statutory consultation.

Councillor Paul Crossley seconded the proposal. He pointed out that the Council had a statutory duty to respond to population trends and had made a point of consulting widely. The proposals were to move to further consultation on the plans. He believed that the 6 schools identified for expansion were the right ones. Parental choice would be widened and small schools would be protected by these proposals. Expanding these schools would enable them to support enhanced educational facilities such as SENCO support, school clubs, curriculum planning, small specialist classes etc. He acknowledged the need to address the traffic issues in Paulton when considering the proposal to expand the school.

On a motion from Councillor Dine Romero, seconded by Councillor Paul Crossley, it was

RESOLVED (unanimously)

(1) To PUBLISH a Statutory Notice proposing the expansion of Bathampton Primary school;

(2) To PUBLISH a Statutory Notice proposing the expansion of Castle Primary school;

(3) To PUBLISH a Statutory Notice proposing the expansion of Peasedown St. John Primary school;

(4) To PUBLISH a Statutory Notice proposing the expansion of Weston All Saints C of E Primary school; and

(5) To PUBLISH a Statutory Notice proposing the expansion of Paulton Infant school and Paulton Junior school.

157 FINANCIAL PLAN 2013/14 - 2015/16, BUDGET AND COUNCIL TAX 2013/14

Della Simmons (parent of a child at First Steps Children's Centre) in a statement [a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 11 and on the Council's website] referred to the proposed budget cuts to children's centres and presented a petition of

280 signatures asking for Cabinet to reconsider the proposed cuts to children's centres.

Councillor Eleanor Jackson in an *ad hoc* statement [*a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 14 and on the Council's website*] asked the Cabinet to reconsider the proposals to cut the budget for children's centres. She referred to the section on the Victoria Hall on page 56 of the papers and pointed out that there was still no business plan for saving the Hall, nor had there been any analysis of the consultation which had taken place in November 2012. Councillor Jackson then referred to the Affordable Housing section on page 55 of the report. She supported the allocation of £550K for this, but asked for more detail of how the money would be used.

Councillor Charles Gerrish in an *ad hoc* statement explained the concerns of the Conservative Group over some issues and gave notice that the Group would present some amendments to the Budget, although he did not anticipate that these would be significant. He particularly emphasised the need to address the problem of potholes.

Councillor Ben Stephens in an *ad hoc* statement congratulated the Cabinet for its prioritisation of supporting the local economy, in particular for entrepreneurs from disadvantaged backgrounds.

Peter Duppa-Miller (Secretary to the Town and Parish Councils Association) in an *ad hoc* statement thanked officers for keeping the association informed during the budget process.

Councillor Geoff Ward in an *ad hoc* statement expressed concern over the proposed 30% cut in public protection which he felt would leave the Council very vulnerable in an emergency. He also objected to the proposed cuts in pest control.

Councillor David Bellotti introduced the item. He referred to an additional paper which had been placed into the public gallery before the meeting [a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 12 and on the Council's website] which listed a number of small changes in wording and content of the published report. He moved an amended motion which included the points from the additional paper.

Councillor Bellotti explained that the proposals would protect front-line services, would deliver a zero Council Tax rise, and would invest in homes and jobs across the area. All this was against the background of government grants which, over 3 years, had reduced by £30M.

He was delighted that the proposed budget had avoided any cuts to bus services or the youth service. He was delighted that housing would continue to grow because of Bath Western Riverside and the Radstock Regeneration.

Councillor Paul Crossley seconded the budget proposal. He reminded the Cabinet that the Council had been able to maintain strong reserves by delivering over £25M in efficiency savings. This had enabled the Cabinet to protect front-line services.

Councillor Crossley gave an assurance to Councillor Liz Hardman that Cabinet would work with her to consider options for supporting Children's Centres. He thanked Councillor Patrick Anketell-Jones for his suggestion of extending the joint venue ticket to include the VAG, and promised that the idea would be explored. He listed investments which were being made across the whole area despite the difficult decisions being taken.

Councillor David Dixon said he had been encouraged that teams from all over the Council had been coming up with ideas to improve their services on tighter budgets. He assured members that in an emergency the necessary funds would be made

available so that public protection would not be endangered. He reminded the Cabinet that all 8 of the Council's libraries were being kept open and had been enhanced by the addition of a new hub at Paulton and 3 community-based facilities in rural areas.

Councillor Tim Ball committed to take into accounts all the comments he had received. He observed that by getting empty houses back into use, £0.5M had been put back into the coffers for use in delivering worthwhile services.

Councillor Cherry Beath endorsed the comments already made about supporting small businesses. She highlighted the flood protection along the river, and the support for the Victoria Art Gallery.

Councillor Simon Allen said that the Cabinet was fully supportive of the government's aim to cap the cost for those needing adult social care. He observed that over the next 3 years the proposed budget would put over £260M into supporting the most vulnerable in the community, as well as supporting young people with disabilities into adulthood.

Councillor Roger Symonds explained that the budget aimed to protect road repairs and snow and flood responses. He was delighted that the plans included the redevelopment of Lansdown Park and Ride; and next year would see the redevelopment of Newbridge P&R. Investments in cycling and 20mph zones would continue.

Councillor Dine Romero echoed her commitment front-line services. She thanked Della Simmons and Councillor Liz Hardman for their observations about the Children's Centres which she would consider carefully.

Councillor David Bellotti in summing up observed that there was an ongoing debate about whether services should be universal or targeted. He pointed out that the proposed budget was for one year only, but was indicative for 3 years.

On a motion from Councillor David Bellotti, seconded by Councillor Paul Crossley, it was

RESOLVED (unanimously)

To RECOMMEND to Council:

(1) That the Council approve:

a) The General Fund net revenue budget for 2013/14 of £123.359m with no increase in Council Tax.

b) That no Special Expenses be charged other than Town and Parish Council precepts for 2013/14.

c) The adequacy of reserves at Appendix 2 Table 9 with a risk-assessed level of ± 10.5 m.

d) The individual service cash limits for 2013/14 summarised at Appendix 2 Table 5 and detailed in Annex 1.

e) That the specific arrangements for the governance and release of reserves, including invest to save proposals, be delegated to the Council's Section 151 Officer in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Community Resources and the Chief Executive.

(2) That the Council include in its Council Tax setting, the precepts set and approved by other bodies including the local precepts of Town Councils, Parish Councils and the Charter Trustees of the City of Bath, and those of the Fire and Police Authorities.

(3) That the Council notes the Section 151 officer's report on the robustness of the proposed budget and the adequacy of the Council's reserves (Appendix 2, Annex 2) and approves the conditions upon which the recommendations are made as set out throughout Appendix 2.

(4) That in relation to the capital budget the Council:

a) Approves a capital programme of £59.036m for 2013/14 and notes items for provisional approval in 2013/14 and the programme for 2014/15 to 2017/18 as shown at Appendix 2, Annex 3 including the planned sources of funding.

b) Approves the Minimum Revenue Provision Policy as shown at Appendix 2, Annex 4

c) Approves the Capital Prudential Indicators as set out in Appendix 2 Table 7.

(5) That the Council notes the approach to Community Assets as set out in Appendix 2, Annex 6 and supports the progression of the Quick Wins identified in this Annex.

(6) That the Council notes the Policy Development & Scrutiny review of Medium Term Service and Resource Plans and instructs the relevant officers to finalise these in consultation with the relevant Cabinet Member and in light of feedback from the PD&S reviews, and in line with the approved cash limits.

(7) That the Council agrees the proposed pay policy statement as set out at Appendix 5.

and

To AGREE:

(8) That the Divisional Director – Finance, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Community Resources, is authorised to make any necessary presentational improvements to the draft budget proposal for submission to Council; and

(9) That the following changes be made to the wording and content of the published report:

- a) Page 21, final paragraph to replace the word "significant" with the word "some".
- b) Page 23, first bullet to replace "£33 million" with "£34 million".
- c) Page 51, final paragraph to replace "provisional" with "Fully Approved".
- d) Page 53, insert following sentences before the title "Flood Risk Management" -"The following projects have received approval for the use of £11.2m of Revolving Investment Funding from the West of England LEP to support the delivery of this infrastructure to enable development of sites within the Bath City Riverside Enterprise Area. This funding will be repaid from developer and related contributions once the relevant developments are completed."
- e) Page 110, to delete the existing proposal for a saving of £50k in 2013/2014 relating to Waste Recycling Centres and replace with "Waste Grant Funding will be used to protect existing recycling centre opening hours for the 3 year period of this MTSRP. There is therefore no impact to service delivery".
- f) Page 127, to add the following text as para 5.3 "The savings identified in para 5.1 above are anticipated to be a net revenue saving position across all Council owned assets, after taking account of any costs which may arise as a result of the Community Asset "Quick Wins" identified in this paper.
- g) Page 132, to add the following sentence after the existing paragraph under "Climate Change" – "The Council will also seek to recognise the potential impacts

of weather related events like flooding for Council service areas including Environmental Services, Sustainability and Emergency Planning."

- h) To include an item in the proposed use of "One-off Headroom Allocations" funded by the Financial Planning Reserve as set out on page 39 as follows:
 - £15,000 to protect the funding for the Wheels for All event for 2013/2014.

and to authorise the Section 151 officer to make such appropriate amendments to the figures presented in the Budget Report for Council, as necessary to recognise this change.

158 BATH & NORTH EAST SOMERSET CORE STRATEGY: PROPOSED CHANGES

David Redgewell in an *ad hoc* statement emphasised to Cabinet that doing nothing was not an option. He felt that the authority must accept that it needed to increase its housing and that the Core Strategy must reflect this.

Peter Duppa-Miller (Secretary to the Town and Parish Councils Association) in an *ad hoc* statement said that the association was eager to work with planning officers to adopt and implement the core Strategy. They felt that small amounts of new housing should be allowed in the washed-over green belt settlements.

Councillor Geoff Ward in an *ad hoc* statement agreed with Peter Duppa-Miller. He was concerned that rural areas appeared to be ruled out from even small housing growth simply by virtue of the public transport argument, when in fact most rural dwellers would use their cars to travel around.

Councillor David Laming in an *ad hoc* statement was delighted that attention was being paid to the river corridor. He reminded the Cabinet that new homes could be floating or mobile. The real need was for affordable housing.

Councillor Tim Ball introduced the item by thanking David Redgewell and Peter Duppa-Miller for their contributions to the debate. He thanked officers for working so hard to get the strategy back on course. He announced that many of the questions being raised would be answered when the issue was considered at the Full Council in March. He said that the strategy would revisit the housing numbers and the delivery mechanism.

Councillor Ball moved the recommendations.

Councillor Paul Crossley seconded the proposal. He reminded Cabinet that this was a key document which would enable the Council to plan and control development in the area. It had been regrettable that consensus could not be reached last autumn, but he was confident of agreement when it was brought back to Council.

Councillor Roger Symonds said that the strategy must be a balance between those who have cars and those who relied on public transport.

Councillor David Dixon said that the key to sustainable development was putting work near to homes. It was also about the provision of local shops. His priority was to deliver homes for people and families.

Councillor Cherry Beath said that the strategy would deliver healthy communities. She had been delighted to hear that Councillor Eleanor Jackson would welcome more homes in Radstock.

Councillor Tim Ball in summing up agreed that housing must be in the right place, to minimise travel.

On a motion from Councillor Tim Ball, seconded by Councillor Paul Crossley, it was

RESOLVED (unanimously)

(1) To NOTE the progress on the work required on the Core Strategy to address examination Inspector's concerns.

159 SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION & RETROFITTING SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT

Councillor David Martin in a statement [a copy of which is attached to the Minutes as Appendix 13 and on the Council's website] welcomed the document which he felt would be helpful to residents, builders and installers while at the same time reinforcing the Council's Green Deal initiative. He felt however that an opportunity had been missed to produce guidance for listed buildings.

Councillor Tim Ball proposed the recommendations. He thanked Councillor Martin for his observations and regretted that the proposals relating to listed building retrofitting were not ready to be published, but he anticipated being able to bring proposals forward later. He thanked officers, in particular Cleo Newcombe-Jones, for their hard work

Councillor Paul Crossley seconded the proposal and said that the document would improve the lives of many by enabling fuel efficiency and winter warmth in their homes.

Councillor Cherry Beath felt that the document was a huge step in the right direction and was another example of the Cabinet being willing to grasp nettles.

On a motion from Councillor Tim Ball, seconded by Councillor Paul Crossley, it was

RESOLVED (unanimously)

(1) To ADOPT the Sustainable Construction and Retrofitting Supplementary Planning Document to supplement the Bath & North East Somerset Local Plan, and then the Core Strategy once this is adopted;

(2) To AGREE that the Domestic Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy: Permitted Development Checklist will be made available on the Council's website;

(3) To DELEGATE authority to the Divisional Director of Planning & Transport Development to make graphic and minor textual amendments to the documents prior to adoption;

(4) To SUPPORT the future adoption of local Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Guidance for listed buildings in the next 6 months, following a further review of the draft document with English Heritage (and other stakeholders); and

(5) To AGREE that discussions will be held with other Local Authorities (and other interested parties) with a specific interest in approving energy efficiency and renewable energy measures in listed buildings, together with the relevant Government departments (DCMS and DCLG), with a view to identifying conservation and building regulations policy constraints and ways to overcome them.

160 HIGHWAY ADOPTION CHARGES

Councillor Roger Symonds moved the recommendations. He explained that the intention was that the Council should no longer subsidise developers by charging less than it cost the council to provide the service.

Councillor Paul Crossley in seconding the proposals said that they would ensure that future roads would be built to Council standards, at no extra cost to the Council.

On a motion from Councillor Roger Symonds, seconded by Councillor Paul Crossley, it was

RESOLVED (unanimously)

(1) To INCREASE Supervision charges on S38, S106 and S278 works to include both technical approval and on-site supervision as set out in the report;

(2) To INCREASE the charges to cover staff time, in line with the income and costs of the previous five years and estimated income from the proposed increase as shown in the report;

(3) To IMPOSE At-cost charges for Structures officers, to include for technical approval and supervision of each highways structure element, such as culverts, retaining walls and bridges; and

(4) To AGREE that the basis for the fee changes be subject to a further review in 2013/14.

161 REVENUE AND CAPITAL BUDGET MONITORING, CASH LIMITS AND VIREMENTS - APRIL 2012 TO DECEMBER 2012

Councillor David Bellotti explained that the report demonstrated how the Council ran its affairs. He observed that Directors had made good progress in keeping within budget. The report also showed progress on the capital program.

Councillor Paul Crossley seconded the proposal and welcomed the report. He drew particular attention to the capital expenditure allocated for St Gregory's and St Mark's Joint VI Form, and for Ralph Allen.

On a motion from Councillor David Bellotti, seconded by Councillor Paul Crossley, it was

RESOLVED (unanimously)

(1) To NOTE the projected outturn position for 2012/13 and accompanying information provided in the report;

(2) To ASK the Strategic Directors to continue to work towards managing within budget in the current year for their respective service areas, and to manage below budget where possible by not committing unnecessary expenditure, through tight budgetary control;

(3) To NOTE the capital expenditure position for the Council in the financial year to the end of December and the year end projections detailed in the report;

- (4) To AGREE the revenue virements listed for approval in the report; and
- (5) To NOTE the changes in the capital programme.

162 TREASURY MANAGEMENT MONITORING REPORT TO 31ST DECEMBER 2012

Councillor David Bellotti in moving the proposals explained that the paper was about the council's borrowings during the year. The authority had avoided any increase in its borrowings.

Councillor Paul Crossley seconded the proposal. He said that the Council's investment policy emphasised security and liquidity.

On a motion from Councillor David Bellotti, seconded by Councillor Paul Crossley, it was

RESOLVED (unanimously)

(1) To NOTE the Treasury Management Report to 31st December 2012, prepared in accordance with the CIPFA Treasury Code of Practice; and

(2) To NOTE the Treasury Management Indicators to 31st December 2012.

163 TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY STATEMENT & ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY 2013/14

Councillor David Laming in an *ad hoc* statement asked for clarification about the status and amount allocated under the Revolving Investment Fund. He understood that an amount of £3M allocated for east of Bath flood compensation was now to be used for installation of step banks. He was concerned that the full £3M might be spent unnecessarily.

Councillor David Bellotti assured Councillor Laming that only the required funds would be spent, in line with the Cabinet's determination to void unnecessary expenditure. He reminded Cabinet that the RIF was a revolving fund precisely because it had to be repaid, so no benefit would come from any overspend. He asked officers to respond to Councillor Laming's enquiry.

He moved the recommendations.

Councillor Paul Crossley seconded the proposal.

On a motion from Councillor David Bellotti, seconded by Councillor Paul Crossley, it was

RESOLVED (unanimously)

To RECOMMEND to Council:

(1) That Council approves the actions proposed within the Treasury Management Strategy Statement in Appendix 1;

(2) That Council approves the Investment Strategy as detailed in Appendix 2;

(3) That Council approves the changes to the authorised lending lists detailed in Appendix 2 and highlighted in Appendix 3;

and

To AGREE:

(4) To NOTE the Treasury Management Indicators detailed in Appendix 1 and delegate authority for updating the indicators prior to approval at Full Council on 19th February 2013 to the Divisional Director - Finance and Cabinet Member for Community Resources, in light of any changes to the recommended budget as set out in the Budget Report elsewhere on the agenda for this meeting.

164 AWARD OF CONTRACTS FOR SUPPORTED BUS SERVICES

David Redgewell in a statement said that the report was mostly good news but that there were still some issues. He particularly mentioned the Council's responsibility to market the services it provides by placing timetables at relevant places. He explained to Cabinet in some details which services he felt should be improved or provided differently. The Chair asked all Cabinet members to confirm that they had read the Public Interest Test relating to Appendix A of the report. Cabinet members unanimously confirmed this.

The Chair then proposed that Appendix A should be exempt from publication by reason of the fact that it contained private information. This was seconded by Councillor David Dixon and agreed unanimously.

The Chair advised the Cabinet that he did not intend to exclude the public from the meeting unless a Cabinet member wished to refer to the contents of Appendix A during the debate. No Cabinet member indicated a wish to do this.

Councillor Roger Symonds in proposing the item thanked David Redgewell for his contribution. He said that the Cabinet would judge the proposals on usage of a service and the cost to deliver it. He was delighted that the proposals would deliver the same service as the previous year, but for £108K less; and he congratulated officers on achieving this. He observed that in addition, the number 6/7 bus had been included in the proposals.

Councillor Paul Crossley seconded the proposal and said that an excellent deal had been negotiated. The bus operators had shown a willingness to work with the Council to deliver the services.

On a motion from Councillor Paul Crossley, seconded by Councillor David Dixon, it was

RESOLVED (unanimously)

(1) To AGREE that Appendix A is an exempt item and is not for publication, by virtue of paragraph 3 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

On a motion from Councillor Roger Symonds, seconded by Councillor Paul Crossley, it was

RESOLVED (unanimously)

(2) To NOTE the tender prices received;

(3) To AGREE the award of contracts as proposed;

(4) To CONFIRM the continued support for enhanced frequency on service 6/7 to Larkhall and Fairfield Park; and

(5) To CONFIRM the changes to contract services.

The meeting ended at 9.14 pm

Chair _____

Date Confirmed and Signed

Prepared by Democratic Services